
A Fuzzy Expert System for Solving Possibilistic Multiobjective Programming Problems

M. H. Rasmy^a, W. F. Abd El-Wahed^b, Ali M. Ragab^c, M. M. El-Sherbiny^{d*}

^aFaculty of Computer and Information, Cairo University, Egypt.

^bEng. Math. Dept. Faculty of Eng. El-Menoufia University, Shiben El-Kom, Egypt.

^cInstitute of Statistical Studies and Research (ISSR), Cairo University, Egypt.

^dManagement Development Center for Business Sector (MDCI), Egypt.

This article proposes a fuzzy expert system (FES) for solving the multiobjective possibility programming problems. This FES uses decision-making rules to obtain a solution under qualitative information conditions. To solve such a problem, two approaches are proposed for estimating the solution-rules matrix. A fuzzy inference algorithm is developed. Architecture of the FES is presented. Potential possibilities of the expert system are showed on model examples.

Keywords: Multiobjective decision making, Fuzzy variable, Linguistic variable, Fuzzy Measure, Fuzzy Inference, Linguistic approximation, Fuzzy expert system.

1. Introduction.

2. Problem Formulation

The purpose of this paper is to solve the following multiobjective linear programming problem involving fuzzy linguistic (possibility) parameters.

$$\max f_k(c^k, x), k = 1, \dots, l;$$

s.t

$$\sigma\left\{\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j = b_i(\gamma)\right\} \geq \tau_i, i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (1)$$

$$x \in X, x_j \geq 0;$$

Where $c^k = (c_{k1}, \dots, c_{kn})^T$, x is an n -dimensional vector i.e. $x \in E^n$, where E^n is measurable n -measured Euclidean space, $x \subset E^n$ is a set, satisfying restrictions of a special type $a_{ij} \in E^1$, $b_i(\gamma)$ are fuzzy (possibility) variables, defined in a possibility space $(\Gamma, P(\Gamma), \sigma)$. In this model of fuzziness [3], $\gamma \in \Gamma$ is a set of elements, $P(\Gamma)$ is the set of all subsets of Γ , σ is a fuzzy measure, satisfying the following conditions:

1) $\sigma(\emptyset) = 0, \sigma(\Gamma) = 1;$

2) $\sigma\left(\bigcup_{\infty} A_{\infty}\right) = \sup \sigma(A_{\infty}), \forall A_{\infty} \in P(\Gamma).$

Naturally, as in probability theory, the possibility space $(\Gamma, P(\Gamma), \sigma)$ is in the background, and distribution functions of possible values for fuzzy parameters of b_i come forward. The function $\mu_{b_i}(t)$ is the possibility that fuzzy variable b_i can take on value t and be determined as follows:

$$\mu_{b_i}(t) = \sigma \{ \gamma \in \Gamma \mid b_i(\gamma) = t \}, \forall t \in E^1$$

Model (1) can be interpreted as follows: Let the vector $b(Y) = (b_1(Y), \dots, b_m(Y))$ contain possible values of resources (raw products, materials, ...ect.), vector $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a vector of manufactured volume, a_{ij} be an expense volume of resource i for product j , matrix $c = (c^1, \dots, c^l)^T$ be the price, cost, ..ect vectors of objective functions. It is required to find an output production plan $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ providing the maximum profit, minimum cost, and..., ect with the possibility to satisfy a resources expense balance, If the quantity of these resources is not less than threshold values $\tau_i \in (0, 1], i = 1, \dots, m$.

3. Yazenin's Method

Yazenin [1] has obtained a solution of linear programming problem (L1) with fuzzy parameters in the constraints where the right hand sides of the constraints contain possible values of resources. The solution processes based on using solving rules (5) to convert the linear programming problem L1 into its equivalent L2; where $D = \{d_{ij}\}$ is an $n * m$ solving rule matrix deterministic coefficients $d_{ij} \geq 0$.

$$L1: \max f(c, x), k = 1, \dots, l; \tag{2}$$

s.t

$$\sigma \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j = b_i(\gamma) \right\} \geq \tau_i, i = 1, \dots, n, \tag{3}$$

$$x \in X, x_j \geq 0;$$

$$L2: \max \eta$$

s.t

$$r_0 \leq (\eta - (c, D\alpha)) / (c, D\beta) \leq R_0, \tag{4}$$

$$r_i \leq \alpha_i - (a^i, D\alpha) / (\beta_i + (a^i, D\beta)) \leq R_i, i = 1, \dots, m,$$

$$d_{ij} \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, m, k = 1, \dots, l$$

where $a^i = (a_{i1}, \dots, a_{in}), R_i = (1 - \tau_i) / 2, r_i = (\tau_i - 1) / 2$

$$x(Y) = D b(Y) \tag{5}$$

The functional dependence (5) gives a method for correct plan x with the given information about resources, that does not require to solve (2) and (3). The matrix of the rule (5) can be estimated according to a priori information about the distribution of possible values of vector $b(\gamma)$. The fuzzy variables used in this model are the normal and symmetric triangular fuzzy variables. Where ;

$$\mu_{b_i}(y) = \exp\{-(y - a_i) / \beta_i\} \in N(\alpha_i, \beta_i), y \in E^1 \quad (9)$$

$$\mu_{b_i}(y) = \exp\{0, \min\{1 - c_{b_i}(y - a_i), 1 + c_{b_i}(y - a_i)\}\} \in Tr(\alpha_i, \beta_i), y \in E^1 \quad (10)$$

4. Problem Solving

Model *L2* can be represented by model *M1* by replacing constraint (4) with constraints (11) and (12). P3 can be represented by two constraints (2) and (3) where $f_{k\alpha}(d_{ij}) = (c^k, D\alpha) + r_o(c^k, D\beta)$, and $f_{k\beta}(d_{ij}) = (c^k, D\alpha) + R_o(c^k, D\beta)$

$$f_{k\alpha}(d_{ij}) \leq \eta, \quad (11)$$

$$f_{k\beta}(d_{ij}) \geq \eta, k=1, \dots, l, \quad (12)$$

So, P3 can take the following form

L3: max η

s.t.

$$f_{k\beta}(d_{ij}) - \eta \geq 0,$$

$$f_{k\alpha}(d_{ij}) - \eta \leq 0,$$

$$r_i \leq \alpha_i - (a^i, D\alpha) / (\beta_i + (a^i, D\beta)) \leq R_i, i=1, \dots, m,$$

$$d_{ij} \geq 0, i=1, \dots, n, j=1, \dots, m, k=1, \dots, l$$

For solving the above mentioned problem (1), we will solve model *L3* for each objective function $f_k(c^k, x)$ to construct the membership function (13) and (14) for $f_{k\alpha}(d_{ij})$ and $f_{k\beta}(d_{ij})$ respectively. Afterwards, based on the Zimmermann approach for solving multiobjective linear programming problems, we will solve *M1* to find the best compromise solution.

$$\mu_k(f_{k\alpha}(d_{ij})) = \begin{cases} \frac{f_{k\alpha}(d_{ij}) - L_{k\alpha}}{U_{k\alpha} - L_{k\alpha}} & \begin{matrix} f_{k\alpha}(d_{ij}) \geq U_{k\alpha} \\ L_{k\alpha} \leq f_{k\alpha}(d_{ij}) \leq U_{k\alpha} \\ f_{k\alpha}(d_{ij}) \leq L_{k\alpha} \end{matrix} \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

$$\mu_k(f_{k\beta}(d_{ij})) = \begin{cases} 0 & f_{k\beta}(d_{ij}) \geq U_{k\beta} \\ \frac{U_{k\beta} - f_{k\beta}(d_{ij})}{U_{k\beta} - L_{k\beta}} & L_{k\beta} \leq f_{k\beta}(d_{ij}) \leq U_{k\beta} \\ 1 & f_{k\beta}(d_{ij}) \leq L_{k\beta} \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

M1: $\max \lambda$

s.t

$$f_{k\alpha}(d_{ij}) - (U_{k\alpha} - L_{k\alpha})\lambda \geq L_{k\alpha},$$

$$f_{k\beta}(d_{ij}) + (U_{k\beta} - L_{k\beta})\lambda \leq U_{k\beta},$$

$$r_i \leq \alpha_i - (a^i, D\alpha) / (\beta_i + (a^i, D\beta)) \leq R_i, i = 1, \dots, m,$$

$$d_{ij} \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, m, k = 1, \dots, l$$

Conclusion

In this paper we presented architecture of FES for solving MODM problem with fuzzy (possibilistic) parameters in the right hand side. The general approach for solving such a problem has been introduced combined with an illustrative example. The advantages of this approach are it's not necessary to resolve the problem each time the DM changes his preferences; i.e. this method gives the DM an on-line answer, and let the DM to reflect his/her preference in linguistic form.

References

1. Alexander V. Yazenin, An Expert system for the Solution of Linear Programming Problems, Lecture Note in Economics Math System: Interactive Fuzzy Optimization, Springer-Verlag 1991.
2. Pierfrancesco Reverberi, Maurizio Talamo, A Probabilistic Model for Interactive Decision-Making, Decision Support Systems 25 (1999) 289-308.
3. Nahmias S., Fuzzy Variables, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 1 (1978) 97-110.
4. M. Arenas Parra, A. Bilbao Terol, M.V. Rodriguez, Solution of a Possibilistic Multiobjective Linear Programming Problem, Euro. J. of Opl. Res., 119 (1999) 338-344.
5. M. Arenas Parra, A. Bilbao Terol, M.V. Rodriguez, Solving the Multiobjective Possibilistic Linear Programming Problem, Euro. J. of Opl. Res., 117 (1999) 175-182.
6. Masahiro Inuiguchi, Jaroslav Ramik, Possibilistic Linear Programming: A Brief Review of Fuzzy Mathematical Programming and a Comparison with Stochastic Programming in Portfolio Selection Problem" Fuzzy Sets and Systems 111 (2000) 3-28.

7. Hideo Tanaka, Peijun Guo, H. -J.Zimmermann, Possibility Distributions of Fuzzy Decision Variables Obtained From Possibilistic Linear Programming Problems, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 113 (2000), 323-332.
8. Peijun Guo, Hideo Tanaka, H. -J.Zimmermann, Upper and Lower Possibility Distributions of Fuzzy Decision Variables in Upper Level Decision Problems, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 111 (2000) 71-79.
9. Marc Roubens and Jocques Tegem, Comparison of Methodologies for Fuzzy and Stochastic Multiobjective Programming, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 42 (1991) 119-132.
10. Robert Fuller and Mario Fedrizzi, Stability In Multiobjective Possibilistic Linear Programs, *Euro. J. of Opl. Res.*, 74, pp.179-187, 1994.
11. John A. Drakopoulos , Probabilities, Possibilities, and Fuzzy Sets” *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 75 (1995) 1-15.
12. Radko Mesiar, Endre Pap (1998), " Different Interpretation of Triangular Norms and Related Operations " *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 96 p.p. 183-189
13. Suwarna Hulsurkar, M.P. Biswal, S.B. Sinha, Fuzzy Programming Approach to Multi-Objective Stochastic Linear Programming Problems, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 88 (1997) 173-181.
14. Radko Mesiar, Triangular-Norm-Based Addition of Fuzzy Intervals, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 91 (1997) 231-237.
15. H. J. Zimmermann, *Fuzzy Set Theory and Its Applications*, 2nd Ed. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1996.
16. H.J. Zimmermann, Fuzzy Programming with Several Objective Functions, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 6 (1978) 45-53.
17. F. Herrera and L. Martines, A 2-Tuple Fuzzy Linguistic Representation Model for Computing with Words, *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems* (2000) In press.
18. F. Herrera, E. Herrera-Vidma, Linguistic Decision Analysis: Step For Solving Decision Problems under Linguistic Information, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 115, (1998) 67-82.